Lecture 1

26th August 2003

Required background: computer architecture, programming, data structures, discrete math

Dictionary definitions:

Concurrent - 1. operating or occurring at the same time 2a. running parallel 3. acting in conjunction 4: exercised over the same matter or area by two different authorities

Concurrency - simultaneous occurrence

Working definitions:

Sequential program - sequence of actions (statements) that produce a result (value). Variously called a process or task or thread (of control)

Concurrent program - two or more sequential programs, cooperating to accomplish some goal, and running (at least conceptually) at the same time.

Concurrent programming - the art and science of creating concurrent programs

Hardware terms: uniprocessor, shared-memory multiprocessor, multicomputer (separate memories)

Parallel program - a program intended to exploit the capabilities of a parallel computer to achieve increased performance

What makes concurrent programming worthwhile? What makes it challenging? Why do we study it as an independent topic?

- exponential state growth - the blessing and the curse of concurrent programming. On the one hand CP provides compact and natural representation of real-world world problems with complicated state, yet on the other we have to reason about that complicated state to understand our concurrent programs
- interference
- common patterns and paradigms for dealing with the ensuing complexity
  - concurrency mechanisms
true parallelism for performance

What situations give rise to concurrent programs?

- operating systems - device drivers, time sharing
- embedded systems - concurrency plus real-time
- distributed systems
- client-server systems
- user interface programs
- re-use existing applications (e.g. Unix pipes)
- true parallelism

We are going to ignore true parallelism as a motivation during much of this class. The techniques we study will be applicable to true parallelism but finding and exploiting parallelism in problems is different from what we will be doing. At the end we will revisit parallel programming.

State Explosion

Consider the program

\[ x = 1; y = 2; z = 3 \]

How many states does it have?

- discuss

Now consider (// means concurrently with, which implies arbitrary interleaving of steps of the components)

\[ x = 1; y = 2; z = 3 // a = 1; b = 2; c = 3 \]

How many states does it have?

- discuss

If there are \( n \) states in each of \( m \) concurrent processes, the program has \( n^m \) states.

Now consider
\[ x = x + 1 \]

How many states?
– discuss

To answer this we have to know something about how such a statement would be compiled, e.g. in a simple accumulator machine it might be

\[
\begin{align*}
&LD \ x, A \\
&ADD 1 \\
&ST \ x, A
\end{align*}
\]

Now consider

\[ x = x + 1 \quad \text{// } y = x + 1 \]

How many states? If \( x \) is initially 0 what is the final value of \( y \)?
– discuss

As you can see, writing correct concurrent programs is going to be very hard if understanding what a tiny given program does is this difficult! So why isn’t the whole notion of a concurrent programming a folly?
– discuss

The world is complicated – many states must be represented; if these states evolve along more-or-less independent trajectories, a representation as concurrent threads is more compact, and easier to understand and reason about than the alternative. (Which is not to say that the reasoning is easy!)

What we ask then of our systems for reasoning about concurrent programs, our programming notations and mechanisms for concurrent programming, and our patterns and paradigms for concurrent programs is that they help us manage the complexity that arises due to arbitrary interleaving and interference.

Plan for the semester: we will begin by developing the ability to reason about concurrent programs. Our approach to reasoning about concurrent programs builds on the notion of assertional reasoning about sequential programs using axiomatic semantics, which may itself be new to you.

We will use this new-found ability to develop more convenient notations and mechanisms that confine the complexity issues to small sections of the program. We will discover how these mechanism relate to each other by showing how they can be used to implement one another. We will look at implementing the mechanisms using instructions commonly found in real processors. And we will look at using the mechanisms to build programs with interesting behavior with a focus on recurring patterns of use. We will look at multi-threaded programming in Java and with the \textit{pthreads} library for
C/C++. The MPD language of the book will serve as a basis for discussion but we won’t be using it for programming.

We will also look at concurrency from communications-oriented viewpoint to contrast with our initial look using a shared-variable approach. The MPD language provides support for this viewpoint in an imperative language. We will also look at the communications-oriented viewpoint in the functional language Concurrent ML.

Reading and problems for next time:

- Read Chapter 1; you may skim sections 1.4 through 1.8. Section 1.9 introduces notation we will be using so you need to understand it well.
- Work through Prof. Sheldon’s web tutorial on logic and sets listed in the syllabus.
- Read sections 2.1 and 2.6, skimming for now 2.6.3.
- Retrieve the errata list for the textbook from http://www.cs.arizona.edu/~greg/mpdbook/errata.html and mark all the corrections in your book.
- Consider the following situation: a can contains a mixture of black beans and white beans. Repeatedly perform the operation of removing two beans from the can. If they are the same color throw them away and put a black bean into the can. If they are different colors throw the black one away and put the white one back into the can. Since this operation reduces the number of beans in the can by one each time, eventually there will be one bean left in the can. What, if anything, can you tell me about the color of that bean based on the number of black beans and white beans initially in the can?